

"STRIPS CANNOT KEEP THEM OUTSIDE."

Justice Truax Says Such Action Is Unlawful Conspiracy.

A WEIGHTY DECISION.

He Enjoins Two Steam Fitters' Societies from Intimidating Workmen.

Justice Truax, in the Supreme Court, yesterday handed down a decision which places labor strikes under the interdiction of the law, whenever they amount to a combination or conspiracy to prevent any person from earning his livelihood in his profession, craft or business.

The case was an action for a permanent injunction brought by the National Protective Association of Steam Fitters and Helpers and Charles McQueen, against the Enterprise Association and the Progress Association, both unincorporated societies of steam fitters and helpers, and their walking delegates.

Justice Truax's decision permanently restraining the defendants from interfering with the members of the plaintiff association, or preventing them from procuring and retaining work.

The gist of the plaintiffs' case was that the defendant associations and their walking delegates had combined to prevent the members of the National Protective Association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they had intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors had discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there.

The defendant denied the allegations.

Justice Truax, in his decision, said:

"The evidence shows that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

"It is shown that the defendants have entered into a combination which is intended to prevent the plaintiff association from obtaining work, and that by threats of a strike on buildings at Nos. 50 and 61 West Forty-fifth street, at Twelfth and Seventh avenue, and at Front street and Bowling alley, they have intimidated the contractors for these buildings, so that the contractors have discharged members of the plaintiff association employed there."

BUILD AN ELEVATOR TO GET OUT OF A ROOM



Invalid Kramer's Private Elevator at His Washington Heights Home.

William Kramer, Who Is an Invalid, Declined to Become a Prisoner in His Room, and Now Has His Own Private "Lift."

An elevator, with all the modern improvements, designed for the use of only one man, was finished yesterday afternoon, and although the owner was unable to use it himself, it attracted considerable attention on Washington Heights.

The person who can afford this singular luxury for his sole use is William Kramer, who was for years the proprietor of the Atlantic Garden, in the Bowery, and a popular man for many years among Germans on the East Side of the city.

The Kramer homestead is an old-fashioned four-story vine-covered mansion, all hidden in the thick foliage of gigantic elm and maple trees in the spacious grounds.

It stands on the crest of the hill in One Hundred and Fifty-second street, midway between Amsterdam avenue and Broadway, and between the bank of tropical plants, which surrounds the broad piazza, affords a view of the city and harbor.

In the rear of the house are orchards and flower gardens, and a stable with fast horses. To look after these and take his meals under a huge vine arbor, surrounded by sons and grand sons, and entertain his many friends, he has had the elevator constructed.

For a long time Mr. Kramer has been unable to go more than watch what was going on in his bedroom, on the third floor. Occasionally he was carried down, but this was at times so painful that about a month ago he ordered the best elevator money could purchase.

The shaft of the elevator is at the rear of the house, built outside the house, and covered with zinc. A door from Mr. Kramer's bedroom opens into the top of the elevator shaft, so that his invalid chair can be wheeled into the cage.

On the second story a door leading into the family dining room has also been cut. This will be used when the weather becomes cooler, for in summer the Kramers eat in the open.

To this pretty, shady spot the elevator reaches the ground on the west side, while to the east a carriage drive has been so arranged that Mr. Kramer can be taken from the elevator directly into his carriage.

Mr. Kramer has been an invalid for many years, and some time since one of his legs had to be amputated. He believes that it may be necessary to remove the other leg also. While often a sufferer, he is still as genial and good-natured as when he was host at the Atlantic Garden.

Dr. Bartlett, the eminent specialist on alcoholism, has furnished the world a specific for the alcoholic disease in the Bartlett Cure.

The beauty of it is the treatment is taken at home, without publicity or detention from business. There are no hypodermic injections to wreck body and mind, and the price is within the reach of all. The treatment not only cures the craving for drink, but cures the stomach, restores the shattered nerve system and restores the strength in every function of the body and mind. Call at our office for free and confidential consultation or write for look on alcoholism, mailed free. The Bartlett Cure Co., D. C. Bartlett, M. D., Room 218, 112 Broadway, N. Y.; Suite 13, 100 Washington St., Chicago.

Narragansett Pier, R. I., July 8.—The first week of close conference between Chairman Nelson W. Aldrich, of the Senate Finance Committee, and Senators Orville H. Platt, of Connecticut; Thomas C. Platt, of New York; Julius C. Burrows, of Michigan, and William B. Allison, of Iowa, ended this afternoon at the "Little Casino."

The cottage they have chosen for weighty deliberations on the currency problem, and little progress is the result.

The younger Senators, particularly those from Eastern States, where the single gold standard sentiment is strongest, have been unable to bring some of the Westerners over to their way of thinking. Led by Aldrich, the astute Rhode Island compromiser, the older Senators have practically decided to hedge in 1900 on the money question and thus take some of the wind out of William J. Bryan's sails.

This policy, however, does not please the New York leader, and he has gone back to the Empire State and his gold-standard constituency determined not to participate further in the conference. His ideas have been given with more force and fluency than Senator, according to some of the other Senators, who declare he has had much less experience in practical national financing than most of his fellow-committeemen. Burrows perhaps excepted, and his notions were therefore far more strait-laced than the conditions warrant.

While "pressing private business" has been the excuse for the Senator's withdrawal, the general impression here is that he has delivered himself of his "right notions," as one Senator styled them, and, seeing little hope of bringing a majority into line with his views, upon which he believes the party's future hinges in New York, he has decided to return to his home in Indiana.

Senator Platt, of Connecticut, was not present at today's session, but will return Monday, when the discussion will be resumed. The House caucus bill drafted at Atlantic City was considered informally, but no action was taken.

H. H. Hanna, of Indianapolis, has arrived and was buttonholing Senators to-day. He is said to be laden with up-to-date styled terms, and, seeing little hope of bringing a majority into line with his views, upon which he believes the party's future hinges in New York, he has decided to return to his home in Indiana.

He said to-day that he was here merely for his usual Summer rest at the seashore.

Pocket Full of Knives and Forks. John Hurley, thirty-two years old, of No. 261 West Forty-second street, was held in \$2,000 bail for presentation by Magistrate Brann in the West Side Police Court yesterday on a charge of burglarizing a room at 261 West Forty-second street, where he was arrested. He was found with knives and forks.

BOY BANDITS MAKE A CONFESSION.

Say Young Hannigan Tried to Wreck Washington Express.

ACCUSE TWO OTHERS.

Older Members of the Gang Still at Large—Three Boys Held Without Bail.

James McManis, James Hannigan, the two boys arrested Thursday for attempting to wreck the Washington Express on the New York, New Haven & Hartford, near Baychester, and Joseph McIntyre, aged sixteen, of No. 531 Robbins avenue, arrested Friday night, were arraigned before Magistrate Flammer in Morrisania Police Court yesterday morning. McIntyre and McManis weakened yesterday when they realized how serious was the charge against them, and confessed to Detectives Morton and Dillon that it was Hannigan who had placed the big iron bar across the track, so that if Section Foreman Falvey and his men had not removed it just in time the express would have been derailed.

"Hannigan told us he wanted to wreck the train," said the other boys, "but he didn't say what he was going to do when it happened. After he put it on the track we just walked along with him and the two other fellows. They were L. Schlutzberger and Joseph Flynn, of One Hundred and Forty-ninth street and Eagle avenue."

Schlutzberger, the boys believed, had deserted from the United States army. No one seemed to know his address. Flynn, who is about twenty years old, has not appeared at his home since Thursday.

These two—the oldest in the party—had about \$20 between them when they ran away. Their captive companions say they have probably gone to Philadelphia, as they were talking of going there.

The police are particularly anxious to get these two, who are believed to have at least prompted the attempt at train wrecking, if they did not really place the bar on the tracks. The police say it is probable that they used the smaller boys as their tools.

Hannigan was greatly disgusted with his companions for "peaching" on him. Flynn, who is about twenty years old, he remarked, contemptuously. "The pair of us is no good. If I ever get out of this I won't walk a block with 'em."

Hannigan was for five years an altar boy in St. Anselm's Church, at Flinton avenue and One Hundred and Eighty-first street, and there bore an excellent reputation, but for the past two years he has been in rather bad company.

McIntyre's father, who is said to be a wealthy contractor, wanted to go bail for his son, and offered as high as \$100,000 cash as guarantee for his appearance at the examination, which was set down for Tuesday afternoon; but Magistrate Flammer refused to accept any amount of security for any of the lads.

"This is too serious a matter," he declared. The boys were then remanded to the Gerry Society.

Before Tuesday the police hope to have the family dining room back to its normal condition, and to have the other two members of the party.

Vantines
BROADWAY AND 18th ST.
ORIENTALISTS & JEWELERS

Vantines
BROADWAY AND 18th ST.
ORIENTALISTS & JEWELERS

Vantines
BROADWAY AND 18th ST.
ORIENTALISTS & JEWELERS

Vantines
BROADWAY AND 18th ST.
ORIENTALISTS & JEWELERS

Vantines
BROADWAY AND 18th ST.
ORIENTALISTS & JEWELERS

Vantines
BROADWAY AND 18th ST.
ORIENTALISTS & JEWELERS

Vantines
BROADWAY AND 18th ST.
ORIENTALISTS & JEWELERS

Vantines
BROADWAY AND 18th ST.
ORIENTALISTS & JEWELERS

Vantines
BROADWAY AND 18th ST.
ORIENTALISTS & JEWELERS

Vantines
BROADWAY AND 18th ST.
ORIENTALISTS & JEWELERS

Vantines
BROADWAY AND 18th ST.
ORIENTALISTS & JEWELERS

Vantines
BROADWAY AND 18th ST.
ORIENTALISTS & JEWELERS

Vantines
BROADWAY AND 18th ST.
ORIENTALISTS & JEWELERS

Vantines
BROADWAY AND 18th ST.
ORIENTALISTS & JEWELERS

Vantines
BROADWAY AND 18th ST.
ORIENTALISTS & JEWELERS

Vantines
BROADWAY AND 18th ST.
ORIENTALISTS & JEWELERS

Vantines
BROADWAY AND 18th ST.
ORIENTALISTS & JEWELERS

Vantines
BROADWAY AND 18th ST.
ORIENTALISTS & JEWELERS

Vantines
BROADWAY AND 18th ST.
ORIENTALISTS & JEWELERS

Vantines
BROADWAY AND 18th ST.
ORIENTALISTS & JEWELERS

Vantines
BROADWAY AND 18th ST.
ORIENTALISTS & JEWELERS

Vantines
BROADWAY AND 18th ST.
ORIENTALISTS & JEWELERS

Vantines
BROADWAY AND 18th ST.
ORIENTALISTS & JEWELERS

Vantines
BROADWAY AND 18th ST.
ORIENTALISTS & JEWELERS

Vantines
BROADWAY AND 18th ST.
ORIENTALISTS & JEWELERS

Vantines
BROADWAY AND 18th ST.
ORIENTALISTS & JEWELERS

Vantines
BROADWAY AND 18th ST.
ORIENTALISTS & JEWELERS

Vantines
BROADWAY AND 18th ST.
ORIENTALISTS &